examples have to be made out of people convicted on corruption charges and the legal process in such cases has to be expedited. In addition, with changes in economic policy regime, regulatory bodies that guide and monitor the functioning of the relevant economic agents, lays down the rules of conduct in the interest of consumers and devises such practices that help in efficient functioning of the system will have to be established in many sectors of the economy that are now being opened up. At the same time, social monitoring through empowered, autonomous and credible structures will have to be established even for the highest of the public offices. Right to information has to be the starting point for some of these changes.

Poor performance by States

†998. SHRI MOTILAL VOHRA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the States whose performance has been poor in respect of implementation of Ninth Five Year Plan;

(b) the implementation percentage of Ninth five Year Plan by each State;

(c) the reasons for such poor implementation;

(d) the action being taken by Government against such States; and

(e) if not the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) and (b) Statement indicating financial performance of States in respect of implementation of Ninth Five Year Plan *viz.* per cent of anticipated expenditure to revised outlay during the Ninth Plan is attached. *{See* below)

(c) to (e) While overally performance of States was fairly good as indicated, the main reasons for shortfall in realisation of projected Ninth Plan Outlays by many States was the inability of these States to mobilize financial resources as projected Qtates are encouraged during plan discussions to increase mobilis^'-on of their resources.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Statement

Performance ofStatesAJTs during Ninth Plan at Current Prices

(Rs. Crore)

SI.	States/UTs	Ninth Plan (1997—2002) Agreed Outlay	TOTAL		9th Plan Anti. Expen-drture Outiay	Perform Anti. Expdr/ Approved	lance Anti. Expdr/ Revised Outlay
			Originally Approved Outlay	Revised Outlay			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Andhra Pradesh	25150.00	24186.65	22956.22	22715.20	93.92	98.95
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	3569.89	2644.75	2268.75	2137.15	80.81	94.20
3.	Assam	8983.93	6749.55	6142.80	5942.80	88.05	96.74
4.	Bihar	16680,00	12776.02	8651.82	9159.24	71.69	105.86
5.	Chhattisgarh*		973.37	973.37	1364.08		
6.	Goa	1500.00	1301.86	1189.68	1196.69	91.92	100.59
7.	Gujarat	28000.00	25703.60	24672.13	22754.97	88.53	92.23
8.	Haryana	9310.00	8432.66	7120.47	6617.50	78.47	92.94
9.	Himachal Pradesh	5700.00	5872.09	6358.44	6528.97	111.19	102.68
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	9500.00	7438.54	7389.16	6464.20	86.90	87.48
11.	Jharkhand**		1669.26	1669.26	1669.26		
12.	Karnataka	23400.00	25631.34	23859.16	25474.11	99.39	106.77
13.	Kerala	16100.00	12876.65	11277.43	1200982	93.27	106.49
14.	Madhya Pradesh	20075.00	15272.55	13873.16	14434.49	494.51	104.05
15.	Maharashtra	36700.00	45002.68	45002.40	38690.17	85-97	85.97
16.	Manipur	2426-69	1883-91	1700.42	1632.25	86.64	95.99
17.	Meghalaya	2500-62	1826-01	1511.36	1489.37	81.56	98.54
18.	Mizoram	1618.51	1476.05	1485.16	1443.85	97.82	97.22
19.	Nagaland	2006.43	1348.61	1327.12	1237.96	91.80	93.28
20.	Orissa	15000.00	12088.94	10433.51	9909.09	81.97	94.97
21.	Punjab	11500.00	10484.11	10120.34	8990.59	85.75	86.84

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
22.	Rajasthan	22525.83	17922.20	17433.60	16429.95	91.67	94.24
23.	Sikkim	1600.00	1036.53	1022.04	925.71	89.31	90.58
24.	Tamil Nadu	25000.00	20934.68	20316.41	20526.24	98.05	101.03
25.	Tripura	2577.39	1981.20	1817.55	1846.91	93.22	101.62
26.	Uttar Pradesh	46340.00	38396.04	23091.82	24518.54	63.86	106.18
27.	Uttaranchai***		778.99	778.99	1376,29		
28.	West bengal	16900.00	20911.11	14961.52	17536.71	83.86	117.21
29.	A & N Islands	1535.00	1453.29	1453.29	1439.41	99.04	99.04
30.	Chandigarh	685.00	585.11	585.11	586.07	100.16	100.16
31.	D & N Haveli	205.00	183.57	183.57	181.45	98.84	98.84
32.	Daman & Diu	165.00	148.65	148.65	145.29	97.74	97.74
33.	Delhi	15541.28	12163.29	11464.49	10802.30	88.81	94.22
34.	Lakshadweep	270.00	266.07	285.48	279.79	105.16	. 98.01
35.	Pondicherry	1300.00	1180.44	1193.94	1187.75	100.62	99.48
	Total (UTs)	19701.28	15980.42	15314.53	14622.05	91.50	95-48
	Total {States & UTs)	374365.57343580.36304718.64 299644.16				87.21	98.33

Note

1. Aniticipalted Expenditure includes actual expenditure upto 1999-00 for all states/ UTs, anticipated expenditure for Assam, Himachal Pradesh and Kerala for 2000-01 and actual for other States/UTs, and Revised Outlay for 2001-02 for all States/UTs

* Agreed Ninth Plan agreed outlay included in Madhya Pradesh and approved outlay and anticipated expenditure are for 2001 -02 only.

** Agreed Ninth Plan agreed outiay included in Bihar and approved outlay and anticipated expenditure are for 2001-02 only.

*** Agreed Ninth Plan agreed outlay included in Uttar Pradesh and approved outlay and anticipated expenditure are for 2001 -02 only

External aid for National Highways

999. MISS MABEL REBELLO: Will the Minister of ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) the number of National Highways which are externally aided alongwith